|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|---|--|---|
|   | 09/786,742   | JURGENSEN, HEINRICH   |
| Notice of Allowability  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|   | James A. Menefee   | 2828  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313  | (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to   | plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS   |
| 1. $\boxtimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>amendment filed 4/30</u>  | <u>//2004</u> .  |   |
| 2.  The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>408-410 and 417-709</u> .  |  |   |
| 3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examiner   | r.   |   |
| <ul> <li>4.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una a)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.</li> <li>5.  A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6.  CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be comply including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers</li> </ul> | been received.  been received in Application No cuments have been received in this  of this communication to file a reply ENT of this application.  itted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declara t be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO- | national stage application from the complying with the requirements  'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF tion is deficient. |
| 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date  (b) ☒ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date   |  | Office action of  |
| Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. ach sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the  |  |   |
| 7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I  | sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL r<br>FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC  | nust be submitted. Note the AL MATERIAL.  |
| <ul> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2. ☑ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4/30/04</li> <li>4. ☑ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ul>  | 6. ⊠ Interview Summary<br>Paper No./Mail Dat<br>8), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendr   | te <u>20040527</u> .  |
|   |  |   |

Application/Control Number: 09/786,742

Art Unit: 2828

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

In response to the amendment filed 4/30/2004, the third substitute specification and the substitute abstract are entered. Claims 1-407, and 411-416 have been cancelled; claims 408, 420, and 421 have been amended; and claims 422-709 are added. Additionally, the changes to the drawings are approved, see the drawings section below and the attached sheet. Claims 408-410, and 417-709 are pending and allowable.

### **Drawings**

The following changes to the drawings have been approved by the examiner and agreed upon by Brett Valiquet in the telephone interview of 6/1/2004:

Insert drawing sheet 30, see attached, including Figs. 36b and 36c. A copy of this sheet was also faxed to Brett Valiquet on 6/1/2004. This sheet was a part of the originally filed international application, and thus is a part of the present application as originally filed and is not new matter. However, sheet 30 was inadvertently missing from the application as transmitted by the International Bureau, and thus is added here to the file.

Note that the drawings filed 9/14/2001 and 6/30/2003 are accepted by the examiner except for the above noted insertion. In order to avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must make these above agreed upon drawing changes. The MPEP does not give the examiner authority to directly enter a drawing sheet by examiner's amendment, see MPEP 1302.04 ("No other changes may be made by any person in any record of the U.S. Patent and Trademark office

without the written approval of the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks."), therefore the applicant should file this drawing sheet in response to this action.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 408-410 and 417-709 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 408-410 and 417-421, reasons for allowance were given in the prior office action, mailed 4/20/2004.

Regarding claims 422-516, there is not taught or disclosed in the prior art a rotogravure engraving system where at least one <u>fiber laser</u> outputs a laser beam that is focused onto a rotating drum as a <u>diffraction limited</u> laser beam in order to create cups in the drum for printing rotogravure images or text.

Regarding claims 517-613, there is not taught or disclosed in the prior art a flexo printing system where at least one <u>fiber laser</u> outputs a laser beam that is focused onto a rotating drum as a <u>diffraction limited</u> laser beam in order to process the surface of a printing form to create a fine structure for images or text by removing material from the processed surface.

Regarding claims 614-709, there is not taught or disclosed in the prior art an offset printing system where at least one <u>fiber laser</u> outputs a laser beam that is focused onto a rotating drum as a <u>diffraction limited</u> laser beam in order to process the surface of a printing form to create a fine structure for images or text by processing material on said surface.

To summarize, the prior art rotogravure, flexo, and offset printing systems that use lasers focused on a rotating drum do not use fiber lasers, and are not diffraction limited. There is no suggestion in the prior art that such lasers may be used in these printing systems.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references show various printing systems using lasers, but not the particular kinds of lasers of the present invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Menefee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1944. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/786,742 Page 5

Art Unit: 2828

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JM

June 1, 2004

Supervisory Patent Examiner

# 30/39



